

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,075	075 11/26/2003		Koichiro Tanaka	0756-7223	7829	
31780	7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
ERIC ROE	BINSON		ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA			
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
POTOMAC	FALLS,	VA 20165	1725			
				DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/721,075	TANAKA, KOICHIRO	TANAKA, KOICHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
M. Alexandra Elve	1725			

	M. Alexandra Eive	1/25	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	iter than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		INE FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED MITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amol hortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	widin are time period det refur		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see l		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		•	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affi	i Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Male	_
		M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner	1725

Continuation of 13. Other: applicant's amendment to the specification obviates the new matter rejections.